UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,385	03/01/2002	Mark L. Beard	J2167.0280/P280	3339
21967 HUNTON & W	7590 04/05/200 ILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DEPA	LIU, I JUNG		
1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20006-1109	3691		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	UTUS.	04/05/2007	PAPED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No	. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/087,385	BEARD, MARK	BEARD, MARK L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marissa Liu	3691				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the cove	er sheet with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the properties of	ILING DATE OF THIS C 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nication. tory period will apply and will expire ill, by statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION. vever, may a reply be timely filed s SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
·	•	o)⊠ This action is non-fir	nal.	•			
3)							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
<u>(8)</u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election require	ement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)[☐ accepted or b)[☐ ob	pjected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objecti						
44.	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner, Note th	e attached Office Action of form i	P10-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been rec	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ave been received in this Nation	al Stage			
* *	application from the Internation	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·			
* 3	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified of	opies not received.				
Attachmen		r -	·	·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/20/2003.	Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/087,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 provide a method for determining a benefit of pooling separate cash accounts into a single account. However, the body of claims 1-3 and 5-8 fails to provide tangible end result. Therefore, claims 1-3 and 5-8 are nonstatutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1, 4-9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(3) as being unpatentable by Bent et al. Pub No.: 2002/0091637 A1.
- 4. As per claim 1, Bent et al. teaches a method for determining a benefit of pooling separate cash accounts into a single pooled account, the method comprising: determining separate minimum cash balances required in the separate cash accounts (Fig. 1; ¶ 0027; ¶ 0038); aggregating the separate minimum cash balances into an aggregated minimum cash balance (¶ 0027; 0029); determining a pooled minimum cash balance required in the single pooled account

(Figs. 1 and 5); and determining a difference between the aggregated minimum cash balance and the pooled minimum cash balance, wherein the difference is a benefit of pooling (¶ 0029; ¶ 0046).

- As per claim 4, Bent et al. teaches the method as recited in claim 1 described above.

 Bent et al. further teaches comprising: pooling the separate cash accounts into the single pooled account if the pooled minimum cash balance is less than the aggregated minimum cash balance (¶ 0015; ¶ 0018; ¶ 0029; ¶ 0046).
- As per claim 5, Bent et al. the method as recited in claim 1 described above. Bent et al. further teaches comprising: receiving the number of separate cash accounts to be pooled (abstract; ¶ 0018; ¶ 0027); receiving daily balance data for each of the separate cash accounts (¶ 0036); receiving an identification of a currency of the separate cash accounts (abstract; Fig. 1; Fig. 5); and receiving interest spreads for each of the separate cash accounts spread (abstract; ¶ 0021; ¶ 0028).
- 7. As per claim 6, Bent et al. the method as recited in claim 5 described above. Bent et al. further teaches wherein the daily balance data is a time series of consecutive daily balance data (¶ 0036).
- 8. As per claim 7, Bent et al. teaches the method as recited in claim 6 described above. Bent et al. further teaches wherein the daily balance data is for a representative period (¶ 0036, the specification defines a representative period as up to 3 months, where "a month" is equivalent of "a representative period").

Art Únit: 3691

- 9. As per claim 8. The method as recited in claim 7, wherein the representative period is up to three months (¶ 0036, the specification defines a representative period as up to 3 months, where "a month" is equivalent of "a representative period").
- 10. As per claim 9. A system for determining a benefit of pooling separate cash accounts into a single pooled account, the system comprising:

a communication network (¶ 0042; Fig. 4); at least one user terminal coupled to the communication network (¶ 0042; Fig. 4); and an information processor coupled to the communication network (¶ 0042; Fig. 4), wherein the information processor is operable to:

determine separate minimum cash balances required in the separate cash accounts (Fig. 1, ¶ 0027; ¶ 0038), aggregate the separate minimum cash balances into an aggregated minimum cash balance (¶ 0027, ¶ 0029), determine a pooled minimum cash balance required in the single pooled account (Fig. 1 and 5), and determine a difference between the aggregated minimum cash balance and the pooled minimum cash balance, wherein the difference is a benefit of pooling (¶ 0029; ¶ 0046).

- As per claim 12, Bent et al. teaches a system as recited in claim 9 described above. Bent et al. further teaches wherein the information processor is further operable to: issue an instruction to pool the separate cash accounts into the single pooled account if the pooled minimum cash balance is less than the aggregated minimum cash balance (¶ 0015; ¶ 0018; ¶ 0029; ¶ 0046).
- 12. As per claim 13, Bent et al. teaches a system as recited in claim 9 described above. Bent et al. further teaches comprising: an input interface, the input interface operable to: receive the number of separate cash accounts to be pooled (¶ abstract; ¶ 0018; ¶ 0027), receive daily balance

Application/Control Number: 10/087,385 Page 5

Art Unit: 3691

data for each of the separate cash accounts (¶ 0036), receive an identification of a currency of the separate cash accounts (abstract; Fig. 1; Fig. 5), and receive interest spreads for each of the separate cash accounts (abstract; ¶ 0021; ¶ 0028).

- 13. As per claim 14, Bent et al. teaches the system as recited in claim 13 described above. Bent et al. further teaches wherein the daily balance data is a time series of consecutive daily balance data (¶ 0036).
- 14. As per claim 15, Bent et al. teaches the system as recited in claim 14 described above. Bent et al. further teaches wherein the daily balance data is for a representative period (¶ 0036, the specification defines a representative period as up to 3 months, where "a month" is equivalent of "a representative period").
- 15. As per claim 16, Bent et al. teaches the system as recited in claim 15 described above. Bent et al. further teaches wherein the representative period is up to three months (¶ 0036, the specification defines a representative period as up to 3 months, where "a month" is equivalent of "a representative period").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 17. Claim 2-3 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bent et al. Pub No.: 2002/0091637 A1 in view of Tanaka et al. US Patent Number: 5,799,288.
- 18. As per claim 2, Bent et al. teaches the method as recited in claim 1 described above.

 Bent et al. does not teach wherein the step of determining the separate minimum cash balances comprises: multiplying a standard deviation of a cash balance in each of the separate cash accounts by 2.3. Tanaka et al. further teaches wherein the step of determining the separate minimum cash balances comprises: multiplying a standard deviation of a cash balance in each of the separate cash accounts by 2.3 (Fig. 24(b) and column 5, lines 57-67).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the step of determining the separate minimum cash balances comprises: multiplying a standard deviation of a cash balance in each of the separate cash accounts by 2.3 feature to the method for determining a benefit of pooling of Bent et al. because Tanaka et al. teaches that adding the feature helps to predict demanded cash amounts within a designated period of time (column 1, lines 65-67).

19. As per claim 3, Bent et al. teaches the method as recited in claim 2 described above. Bent et al. does not teach wherein the number of separate cash accounts is n, and wherein the standard deviation of the cash balance in any one of the separate cash accounts is S(x), the step of determining the separate minimum cash balances is given by 2.3*[sum of S(n)]. Tanaka et al. further teaches wherein the number of separate cash accounts is n, and wherein the standard deviation of the cash balance in any one of the separate cash accounts is S(x), the step of

determining the separate minimum cash balances is given by 2.3*[sum of S(n)] (Fig. 24(a) and column 5, lines 57-67).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the number of separate cash accounts is n, and wherein the standard deviation of the cash balance in any one of the separate cash accounts is S(x), the step of determining the separate minimum cash balances is given by 2.3*[sum of S(n)] feature to the method for determining a benefit of pooling of Bent et al. because Tanaka et al. teaches that adding the feature helps to predict demanded cash amounts within a designated period of time (column 1, lines 65-67).

As per claim 10, Bent et al. teaches the method as recited in claim 9 described above.

Bent et al. does not teach wherein the information processor is further operable to determine the separate minimum cash balances by multiplying a standard deviation of a cash balance in each of the separate cash accounts by 2.3. Tanaka et al. further teaches wherein the information processor is further operable to determine the separate minimum cash balances by multiplying a standard deviation of a cash balance in each of the separate cash accounts by 2.3 (Fig. 24(a) and column 5, lines 57-67).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the information processor is further operable to determine the separate minimum cash balances by multiplying a standard deviation of a cash balance in each of the separate cash accounts by 2.3 to the method for determining a benefit of pooling of Bent et

al. because Tanaka et al. teaches that adding the feature helps to predict demanded cash amounts within a designated period of time (column 1, lines 65-67).

21. As per claim 11, Bent et al. teaches the method as recited in claim 10 described above. Bent et al. does not teach wherein the number of separate cash accounts is n, and wherein the standard deviation of the cash balance in any one of the separate cash accounts is S(x), wherein the information processor is further operable to determine the separate minimum cash balances by 2.3*[sum of S(n)]. Tanaka et al. further teaches wherein the number of separate cash accounts is n, and wherein the standard deviation of the cash balance in any one of the separate cash accounts is S(x), wherein the information processor is further operable to determine the separate minimum cash balances by 2.3*[sum of S(n)] (Fig. 24(a) and column 5, lines 57-67).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the number of separate cash accounts is n, and wherein the standard deviation of the cash balance in any one of the separate cash accounts is S(x), the step of determining the separate minimum cash balances is given by 2.3*[sum of S(n)] feature to the method for determining a benefit of pooling of Bent et al. because Tanaka et al. teaches that adding the feature helps to predict demanded cash amounts within a designated period of time (column 1, lines 65-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Liu whose telephone number is 571-270-1370. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,385

Art Unit: 3691

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6711. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER